

**Representation from the Non-Gazetted Employees of Co-operative Department of South Kanara with regard to discrepancies in the Preparation of Inter state Seniority List.**

**\*Q.—360. Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA (Suratkal).—**

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they have received any representations from the non-gazetted employees of the Co-operative Department of South Kanara District, regarding serious discrepancies in the preparation of Inter-State Seniority List and equation of pay ;

(b) if so, the action they have taken on these representations ?

**A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—**

(a) Yes.

(b) The provisional Inter-State Seniority List prepared in accordance with the instructions of Government has been circulated among the concerned officials. The objections received from the aggrieved officials will be forwarded by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies with his comments to the Government. After scrutiny, the List will be published in the *Mysore Gazette* calling for objections, both in respect of equations and in respect of ranking within 30 days, after which, the objections received thereon will be forwarded with the comments of the State Government for review by the State Advisory Committee.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—I would like to correct the question as printed. In the question the words 'equation of pay' are incorrect and they should be 'equation of posts'.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Yes.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—Was any committee appointed by the Government, either departmentally or at the level of Government and if so what are their recommendations ?

†**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—The departmental head will naturally receive objections. A provisional list has been prepared and the departmental head has circulated that list to the concerned officials. They are now to file their objections.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—My question is whether any committee has been constituted by the Government or the Department to settle equation of posts and if so what are their recommendations ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—At the final stages, a committee has been constituted consisting of representatives of the Central and State Governments.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—Is it a fact that the Central Government has constituted a Committee and if so what are their recommendations ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—It has not reached that stage yet.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—It is a fact that the state Government constituted a committee and that committee made a certain recommendation, which the State Government did not accept.

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—It is not so.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—I have got information that State Government did appoint a committee and that report has not been accepted.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Next question.

**Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.**—Sir, these officials are desirous of taking legal steps and they are waiting for a reply from the Government. I feel this is an important matter. Please permit me to put some more supplementaries.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The Hon'ble Member can do all that in the course of the debate.

### Raising the Waste-Weir and Bund of the Bettahalli Tank in Hutridurga Hobli, Kunigal Taluk.

**\*Q.—85. Sri ANDANAIAH (Kunigal).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) when the estimate to raise the waste-weir and bund of the Bettahalli tank in Hutridurga Hobli, Kunigal Taluk, was prepared for the first time and the estimated cost thereon ;

(b) the height of the bund of the said tank up to which it was decided to be raised ;

(c) the extent of land that would be submerged on account of increasing the capacity of the said tank ;

(d) whether they have made any alterations subsequently with regard to the estimated cost and the height of the bund of the said tank proposed to be raised ;

(e) if so, the reasons for such alterations ;

(f) whether the final estimate of the said bund has been sanctioned ; and if so, whether the work pertaining to the raising of the bund has been commenced ?

**A.—Sri ALUR HANUMANTHAPPA (Deputy Minister for Minor Irrigation).**—

(a) During 1954-55 ; Estimated cost was Rs. 42,000.

(b) It was proposed to raise both the waste-weir and bund by 4 ft.

(c) 17.08 acres.

(d) Yes.

(e) The tank receives good supply as the catchment is hilly. The reduction in the height was reasonable as the proposed storage capacity is adequate to irrigate the existing atchkat.

(f) Yes ; arrangements are made to commence the work.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಅಂದಾನಯ್ಯ.**—(ಈ)ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂತ ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಆ ಕೆರೆಗೆ ನೀರಿನ ಸರಬರಾಯ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೆರೆ ಏರಿಯನ್ನು ತಗ್ಗಿಸಲು ಏಕೆ ಕ್ರಮಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು ? ಏನು ಅಂಥ ಕೊಂದರೆ ಇತ್ತು ?